

ERICK M. FERRAN, ESQ.
Nevada State Bar No. 009554
HITZKE & FERRAN
2110 E. Flamingo Road, Suite 206
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
Telephone No.: (702) 496-7668
Facsimile No.: (702) 462-2646
Attorney for Defendant

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KENNETH LANE ELLIS

Defendant.

CASE NO.: 2:21-mj-00155-EJY

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
(Sixth Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between JASON M. FRIERSON, ESQ., United States Attorney, and MINA CHANG, ESQ., Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and ERICK M. FERRAN, ESQ., counsel for Defendant KENNETH LANE ELLIS, that the Trial date in the above-captioned matter, currently set for May 18, 2022, at 9:00 a.m., be continued for thirty (30) days or to a time convenient to this Honorable Court.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. Counsel for the Defendant needs additional time to prepare for trial in the case, including conducting legal research and review of the discovery. The Parties also need additional time to continue exploring full resolution of the matter without going to trial;

2. The parties are close to resolving this matter in principle through a plea agreement, and, ultimately Defense counsel needs additional time to discuss this with his client; Defense counsel also has a calendar conflict for the time of the hearing.
3. Defense Counsel and Assistant United States attorney are currently in negotiations;
4. Defendant Ellis is not in custody and does not object to the continuance.
5. All parties involved agree to the continuance.
6. This is the sixth request for a continuance of trial.
7. Denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
8. This request for a continuance is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter.
9. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
10. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by a continuance of the trial date.

DATED this 17th day of May, 2022

/s/ Erick M. Ferran, Esq.
ERICK M. FERRAN, ESQ.
Counsel for Defendant Ellis

/s/ Mina Chang, Esq.
MINA CHANG, ESQ.
Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

KENNETH LANE ELLIS

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:21-mj-00155-EJY

ORDER TO CONTINUE TRIAL

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulations of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. Counsel for the Defendant needs additional time to prepare for trial in the case, including conducting legal research and review of the discovery. The Parties also need additional time to continue exploring full resolution of the matter without going to trial;
2. Defendant ELLIS is not in custody and does not object to the continuance;
3. All parties involved agree to the continuance;
4. Defense counsel needs more time to finalize the plea agreement with his client and has a scheduling conflict as well;
5. This is the sixth request for a continuance filed herein;
6. Denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.
7. This request for a continuance is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter.

8. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
2. This request for a continuance is made in good faith and is not intended to delay the proceedings in this matter.
3. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
4. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by a continuance of the trial date.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the trial scheduled for May 18, 2022, at 9:00 a.m., be continued. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Trial in this matter be scheduled for June 22, 2022 at 9:00 am, in Courtroom 3D.

DATED this 17th day of May, 2022.


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE